



5. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 5 and therefore denies.

6. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 6 and therefore denies.

7. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 7 and therefore denies.

8. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 8 and therefore denies.

9. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 9 and therefore denies.

10. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 10 and therefore denies.

11. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 11 and therefore denies.

12. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 12 and therefore denies.

13. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 13 and therefore denies.

14. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 14 and therefore denies.

15. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 15 and therefore denies.

16. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 16 and therefore denies.

17. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 17 and therefore denies.

18. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 18 and therefore denies.

19. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of paragraph 19.

20. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 20 and therefore denies.

21. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 21 and therefore denies.

22. Blue Spike, LLC admits that the '472 patent it is asserting relates to content-based recognition. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 22 and therefore denies.

23. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 23 and therefore denies.

24. Blue Spike, LLC denies that it is accusing any Muscle Fish technology; Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 24 and therefore denies.

25. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 25 and therefore denies.

26. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 26 and therefore denies.

27. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 27 and therefore denies.

28. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 28 and therefore denies.

29. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 29 and therefore denies.

30. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 30 and therefore denies.

31. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 31.

32. Paragraph 32 contains no allegations requiring an admission or denial, but to the extent that the text of Paragraph 32 can be construed as an allegation that venue in the Eastern District of Texas is improper, Blue Spike, LLC denies same.

**COUNT ONE: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF  
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '175 PATENT**

33. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 32 above.

34. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 34.

35. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 35.

36. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 36.

**COUNT TWO: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF  
INVALIDITY OF THE '175 PATENT**

37. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 36 above.

38. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 38.

39. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 39.

**COUNT THREE: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF  
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '494 PATENT**

- 40. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 39 above.
- 41. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 41.
- 42. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 42.
- 43. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 43.

**COUNT FOUR: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF  
INVALIDITY OF THE '494 PATENT**

- 44. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 43 above.
- 45. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 45.
- 46. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 46.

**COUNT FIVE: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF  
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '700 PATENT**

- 47. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 46 above.
- 48. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 48.
- 49. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 49.
- 50. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 50.

**COUNT SIX: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF  
INVALIDITY OF THE '700 PATENT**

- 51. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 50 above.
- 52. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 52.
- 53. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 53.

**COUNT SEVEN: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF  
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '472 PATENT**

54. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 53 above.

55. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 55.

56. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 56.

57. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 57.

**COUNT EIGHT: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF  
INVALIDITY OF THE '472 PATENT**

58. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 57 above.

59. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 59.

60. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 60.

**COUNT NINE: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY OF '472,  
'700, '494 AND '175 PATENTS DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT**

61. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 60 above.

62. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 62.

63. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 63.

64. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 64.

65. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 65.

**REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to any of the relief it requests.

**PLAINTIFF'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

In addition to the relief requested in Plaintiff's Original Complaint, Blue Spike, LLC respectfully requests a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- (a) That Defendant take nothing by its Counterclaims;
- (b) That the Court award Blue Spike, LLC its costs and attorneys' fees incurred in defending against these Counterclaims; and
- (c) Any and all further relief for the Blue Spike, LLC as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Randall T. Garteiser  
Randall T. Garteiser  
Lead Attorney  
Texas Bar No. 24038912  
rgarteiser@ghiplaw.com  
Christopher A. Honea  
Texas Bar No. 24059967  
chonea@ghiplaw.com  
Christopher S. Johns  
Texas Bar No. 24044849  
cjohns@ghiplaw.com  
GARTEISER HONEA, P.C.  
218 North College Avenue  
Tyler, Texas 75702  
(903) 705-7420  
(888) 908-4400 fax

Kirk J. Anderson  
California Bar No. 289043  
Peter S. Brasher  
California Bar No. 283992

GARTEISER HONEA, P.C.  
44 North San Pedro Road  
San Rafael, California 94903  
(415) 785-3762  
(415) 785-3805 fax

*Counsel for Blue Spike, LLC*



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Randall T. Garteiser, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on this day. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5, this document was served via U.S. Mail and electronic means to counsel for Defendant that are not receiving this document via CM/ECF.

/s/ Randall T. Garteiser  
Randall T. Garteiser